

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,388	09/29/2005	Jorma Kullervo Romunen	P08772US00/RFH	3318
881 7590 02/04/2008 STITES & HARBISON PLLC 1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET			EXAMINER	
			ROMAN, LUIS ENRIQUE	
SUITE 900 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2836	
		·		·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	10/551,388	ROMUNEN, JORMA KULLERVO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Luis Roman	2836			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1	1			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/23/06.	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2836

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawing Objections**

The Fig. 1 should have the label "Prior Art". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) (US Patent Application 10/551388).

Regarding claim 1 AAPA discloses a transmitter for sending a data transmission signal to many kinds of electric nets (Fig. 1), whereby the apparatus comprises signal-shaping and adjustment devices (square inside rectangle on the left)) and connecting devices (50) including necessary accessories for connection to the electric net, as a signal amplifier (20) and a connecting cable and/or connecting device between the transmitter and the connecting point of the electric net (cable between points A & B), as for instance 230 V, 50 Hz phase rail (L) and zero rail (N) of the electric net or wall outlet as connecting points and a connecting cable and/or connecting device for connecting the transmitter to the electric net (cable between 50 from point A to the load point B) of length Lw= 10 m.

Art Unit: 2836

AAPA discloses the claimed invention except for that the apparatus is divided into two or several parts, at least a first part (3) and a second part (TX/REMU), whereby second part (TX/REMU) includes at least a connecting unit (50) for connection to the electric net wherein the length (Lw) of connecting cable between the second part and the net is under 5 m.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, on one hand, to separate the components of the transmitter and position them in two different locations, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179 and on the other hand to connect the second part with the net with a cable of a length under 5 m, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

The motivation for doing so would be to decrease the attenuation on the transmission line by reducing the length of the connecting cable.

Regarding claim 2 in reference to the length range and it is implicitly disclosed that if the second part is connected directly to the outlet the length of the cable (Lw) is zero.

Regarding claim 3 (see above) the AAPA device comprises the same working parts but divided in two parts. In other words the two parts work the same as if the two parts are combined in only one part.

Regarding claim 4 dividing the working parts of AAPA device into two parts makes one of them remote and regarding the mutual distance it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to have a mutual distance between the two parts of over 1 m, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the

Art Unit: 2836

optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Regarding claim 5 AAPA discloses wherein the connecting cable is connected to two terminals of a net (Fig. 1 elements L & N).

Regarding claim 6 AAPA discloses the claimed invention except for connecting the device to a three-phase net. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to have the device with connections for not only a mono-phase but a three-phase net as well, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skills in the art and has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced. In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

Regarding claim 7 is well known in the art that data may be transmitted thru a wire, wireless or fiber optics (for example the evolution of the phone communications from the twisted pair to wireless and recently fiber optics).

Art Unit: 2836

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luis E. Román whose telephone number is (571) 272-5527. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon – Fri from 7:15 AM to 3:45 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on (571) 272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

LR/012508

Luis E. Román Patent Examiner Art Unit 2836

SUPERIOR PATERT EXAMINER